

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 120 (1997) 47-54



The decomposition of H_2O_2 catalyzed by polymer supported transition metal complexes

P.C. Selvaraj^{*}, V. Mahadevan¹

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras 600 036, India

Received 2 April 1996; accepted 28 August 1996

Abstract

The synthesis and use of nitrogen-containing copolymers for anchoring Ru(II) and Rh(I) species is described. The supported species are effective as catalysts for the decomposition of H_2O_2 in aqueous medium at neutral pH. The polymers as well as the supported catalysts have been characterised by physical and chemical methods. The effects of $[H_2O_2]$, catalyst loading and pH of the medium on the rate of decomposition have been studied. Suitable mechanisms have been proposed to account for the kinetics. Recycling efficiencies of the catalysts are found to be good.

Keywords: Polymer supported catalysts; H₂O₂ decomposition; Ru(II) and Rh(I) species

1. Introduction

It is a measure of the complexity and variety of chemical reactions in which hydrogen peroxide participates that they have been attracting attention for almost a hundred years and that many of the earliest reactions to be investigated still provide matter for further investigations. Decomposition of H_2O_2 under homogeneous conditions catalyzed by a variety of metal ions have been extensively studied [1]. The decomposition of H_2O_2 catalyzed by Fe(III) phthalocyanin supported on polystyrene [2], Fe(III) and Co(II) tetracarboxylphthalocyanin supported on poly(2-vinylpyridine-co-styrene) [3] has been reported. The catalytic effect of some transition metal amine complexes on H₂O₂ decomposition has been studied in the presence of Dowex-50W resin in an aqueous medium [4-9]. Wilkinson's catalyst has been heterogenised by anchoring it to phosphinated polystyrene-co-divinylbenzene and its activity for the decomposition of H₂O₂ has also been studied [10]. In most of the reports the effect of anchoring the catalyst was studied by comparing with that of unsupported analogues and only in a few cases details of kinetics and mechanism are available. In this paper we report the synthesis of polymer supported Ru(II) and Rh(I) catalysts and their use in the decomposition of H_2O_2 in aqueous medium.

^{*} Corresponding author. SIP Industries Ltd., 46, SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Ranipet, N.A.A Dt., Pin Code 632 403, Tamilnadu, India. [†] Retired.

2. Experimental

The monomers 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), 4-vinylpyridine (4-VP), N, N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate (DMAEM) and pdivinylbenzene (DVB) were distilled under reduced pressure prior to use. N, N-methylenebisacrylamide (MBAm) was recrystallised from methanol. Hydrogen peroxide used was of Analar grade. Rhodium chloride (RhCl₃ · xH_2O) and ruthenium chloride (RuCl₃ · xH_2O) were John-Matthey products and used as such. Ru(bipy)₂Cl₂ · $2H_2O$ was prepared from ruthenium trichloride using a reported procedure [11].

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IR470 using KBr pellets. X-ray photoelectron spectra were obtained on a UG scientific ESCA LAB Mark II spectrometer using MgK_{α} X-ray radiation. Particle sizes of the catalyst were measured using a Malvern particle size analyzer 3600E.

2.1. Synthesis of polymer supports

2.1.1. Copolymer I

Monomers capable of coordinating to transition metal ions were polymerised by the template method [12]. About 4 g of $MnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (0.02 mol) was dissolved in 10 ml of hot ethanol to which was added 8.7 ml (0.08 mol) of freshly distilled 4-vinylpyridine and the mixture was cooled in an ice bath. The complex which precipitated out was filtered, washed thoroughly with ethanol, acetone and vacuum dried. The composition of $Mn(4VP)_4Cl_2$ was confirmed by the elemental analysis and the estimation of Mn content. Elemental analysis: 61.47% C, 5.12% H, 10.25% N (calculated); 61.2% C, 5.18% H, 10.28% N (found). Mn content 23.04% (calculated), 22.84% (found).

About 6.5 g $Mn(4VP)_4Cl_2$ (0.012 mol) was dissolved in 50 ml distilled DMF with constant stirring. The mixture was deoxygenated with nitrogen. To this solution 3 g of methylenebisacrylamide (0.019 mol) was added followed by 200 mg of AIBN in toluene (20 ml) and the mixture was heated to 80°C under nitrogen with vigorous stirring. The polymerisation was carried out for approximately 5 h. The initially homogeneous mixture yielded the copolymer as discrete fine particles upon polymerisation. The polymer was filtered, washed thoroughly by stirring with hot distilled water followed by a similar treatment with methanol followed by acetone and vacuum dried. The yield of the copolymer (PI) was ~90%.

The Mn^{2+} coordinated to the polymer was removed by stirring 8 g of the copolymer with 400 ml of aqueous EDTA (4%) for 24 h at room temperature. Polymer was filtered and washed free of EDTA and the process was repeated to obtain Mn^{2+} free copolymer. The complete removal of Mn^{2+} from the copolymer was confirmed by carrying out the test for Mn^{2+} [13]. The dried copolymer was used to load the catalytically active metal species. The infrared spectrum of the copolymer showed the following absorbances: 3392 cm⁻¹ (amide N–H), 1644 cm⁻¹ ($\C=N-$), and 822 cm⁻¹ (out of plane bending of aromatic $\C-H$).

2.1.2. Copolymer II

A solution of polyvinylalcohol (400 mg) in water (400 ml) was taken in a one litre three necked flask provided with a mercury sealed stirrer, nitrogen inlet and a condenser. To this mixture, styrene (57 mol%), 4-vinylpyridine (40 mol%) and p-divinylbenzene (3 mol%) were added.

This suspension was stabilized by vigorous stirring under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h. Then 250 mg of AIBN in 15 ml toluene was added and the mixture deaerated for further 30 min. Heating on a steam bath with vigorous stirring and passage of N₂ for approximately 4 h completed the polymerisation. The polymer was filtered and washed thoroughly with hot distilled water, followed by methanol and acetone, and vacuum dried. The yield of the copolymer (PII) was ~ 80%. The infrared spectrum of the copolymer showed the following absorbances: 1600 cm⁻¹ (>C=N-), 819, 758, 700 cm⁻¹ (out of plane bending of aromatic >C-H).

2.1.3. Copolymer III

This copolymer was prepared by the suspension copolymerization of 46 mol% of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, 25 mol% each of 4-vinylpyridine and N,N-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate and 4 mol% of methylenebisacrylamide. The monomers being soluble in water, a water in oil suspension technique was used to prepare the copolymer. The detailed procedure is described elsewhere [14]. The yield of the copolymer [P(III)] was ~ 85%. The infrared spectrum of the copolymer showed the following absorbances: 3440 cm⁻¹ (OH), 1721 cm⁻¹ (ester carbonyl), 1630 cm⁻¹ (\supset C=N-), 755, 697 cm⁻¹ (out of plane bending of aromatic \supset C-H).

All the dried copolymers were powdered and sieved through standard mesh sieves. The elemental analysis of copolymers appear in Table 1.

Loading of metal ions on polymer supports was carried out as follows. To the suspension of PI or PIII (4 g) in methanol (20 ml), a solution of RuCl₃ · xH_2O (750 mg) or RhCl₃ · xH_2O (500 mg) in 50 ml methanol was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. About 500 mg of Rh(bipy)₂ Cl₂ · 2H₂O in 50 ml DMF was added to 4 g of PII and the suspension was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The polymer was filtered, washed thoroughly with water, methanol, acetone and vacuum dried. Since the loading process involved stirring for 24 h, further subdivision of particles is likely.

The particle size distribution analysis was carried out on the final loaded copolymer. The average size of the particles was estimated using the equation.

$$dp = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i / dp_i}$$

where X_i is the weight percentage of particles of size dpi. Care was taken to use only samples with reasonably narrow distribution. The metal content of the catalyst was determined as fol-

Table 1 Data on the elemental analysis of polymer supports

No.	Polymer	C (%)	H (%)	N (%)
1	PI	58.35	6.69	12.93
2	PII	45.0	7.12	6.16
3	PIII	52.0	7.11	4.99

lows. About 50–100 mg of the catalyst were digested using concentrated H_2SO_4 (~3 ml) and the solution was cleared using hydrogen peroxide (~2 ml). The metal content was then determined spectrophotometrically [14,15].

The supported Ru(III) or Rh(III) species were not active for H_2O_2 decomposition and were activated as follows: about 2 g of the catalyst was stirred with methanol (50 ml). The mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium borohydride (500 mg) was added in small portions over a period of 2 h at 0°C. The solution was allowed to attain room temperature and stirred for further 30 min. This was filtered, washed thoroughly with hot water followed by methanol, acetone and vacuum dried. The metal content remained unchanged even after the treatment with NaBH₄.

The reduced catalysts have been denoted with a suffix 'a'. To ascertain the oxidation state of Rh ion in the loaded catalysts, they were subjected to XPS studies. The data on the binding energy values are based on carbon 1s binding energy (285 eV). With cat III the binding energy values are 310 eV $(3d_{5/2})$ and 314 eV $(3d_{3/2})$ which are characteristic of Rh(III) [16]. On reduction with sodium borohydride the catalyst (cat IIIa) showed the binding energy values 308 eV $(3d_{5/2})$ and 312 eV $(3d_{3/2})$ which are in good agreement with the data reported for Rh(I) species [17].

Since the binding energy values of 3d orbitals of various oxidation states of ruthenium (281-284 eV) are close to that of carbon 1s (285 eV) XPS data is not very informative for the identification of the oxidation state of ruthenium in the present catalysts. However, since cat II was prepared by anchoring Ru(bipy)₂Cl₂ under mild conditions the oxidation state of ruthenium would be +2. In the case of cat Ia,

		<i>.</i> .	-				
No.	Polymer	Metal salt	Catalyst	Metal content (%)	Average particle diameter (μ m)	Oxidation state of metal	
1	PI	$RuCl_3 \cdot xH_2O$	cat Ia	2.39	21.0	+2	
2	PII	$Ru(bipy)_2Cl_2$	cat II	1.43	107	+2	
3	РІП	$RhCl_3 \cdot xH_2O$	cat IIIa	3.25	137.0	+1	

 Table 2

 Characteristics of the catalysts employed

the initially loaded Ru(III) species was reduced using NaBH₄ and most probably the Ru(III) species would be reduced to Ru(II). The polymer supports employed, the metal salt loaded, their average particle diameter and the oxidation state of the active species are given in Table 2.

2.2. Decomposition of H_2O_2

The experimental set up used consists of a water jacketed reaction vessel of 50 ml capacity equipped with a 20 ml separating funnel attached by means of the two standard tapered joints (14/20), the other being connected to a inverted gas burette which was kept in a water trough.

The initial concentration of H_2O_2 was determined by iodometry. A known weight of catalyst was stirred with 10 ml of distilled water for 10 min followed by the addition of 10 ml of aqueous H_2O_2 of known concentration. The decomposition was followed by measuring the evolution of O_2 as a function of time in the inverted gas burette. The rate of decomposition was determined from the plot of the volume of O_2 evolved as a function of time as

$$\frac{\mathrm{dO}_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2]}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

The reaction temperature was varied between 20 and $45 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. The pH of the medium was varied using suitable buffer solutions.

3. Results and discussion

There is no significant decomposition by the support polymers alone. The initial rate of de-

composition was calculated from the slopes of the plot of the volume of O_2 evolved against time. The plot of percentage decomposition of H_2O_2 versus time is given in Fig. 1. About 80% of the initial H_2O_2 is decomposed within 8 min of reaction time using cat Ia. With cat II about 60% decomposition is achieved whereas about 45% of initial H_2O_2 is decomposed in 15 min using cat IIIa. Only initial rates have been used for the kinetic analysis.

3.1. Kinetic analysis

The dependence of rates of decomposition on $[H_2O_2]$ is shown in Fig. 2. The rates are linear



Fig. 1. Plots of percentage decomposition of H_2O_2 versus time. $[H_2O_2] = 0.038 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$, (1) [cat Ia] = $0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, (2) [cat II] = $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, (3) [cat IIIa] = $3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$.

at low values and independent of $[H_2O_2]$ at high values. This saturation of the catalyst is similar to that encountered in enzyme catalyzed reactions. The direct dependence of initial rates of decomposition on catalyst loading (*M*) is depicted in Fig. 3. Further increase in catalyst loading tends to make the reaction too rapid to be monitored accurately by the O₂ evolution technique. The decrease in pH of the medium inhibits the adsorption of $[H_2O_2]$ on the catalyst particles, thereby lowering the rates (Fig. 4) [18,19]. Similar observations have already been reported in the literature [8,20].

The reaction rate was greatly decreased by the addition of *t*-butanol to the reaction mixture. Inhibition of rate by *t*-butanol suggests the involvement of radicals in the mechanism [9]. Based on these observations the free radical mechanism can be proposed for H_2O_2 decom-



Fig. 2. Dependence of rates of decomposition on $[H_2O_2]$. (1) [cat Ia] = 0.5×10^{-3} g cm⁻³ (2) [cat II] = 1.0×10^{-3} g cm⁻³, (3) [cat III] = 1.75×10^{-3} g cm⁻³.



Fig. 3. Dependence of rates of decomposition on catalyst loading (M). $[H_2O_2] = 0.038$ mol L⁻¹, (1) cat Ia, (2) cat II (3) cat IIIa.

position (Scheme 1). Scheme 1 postulates the initial formation of a complex whose decomposition gives rise to OH and OH⁻ in the rate determining step. The subsequent reaction of HO with H_2O_2 leads to HO_2 and H_2O . HO_2 decomposes to give O_2 while regenerating the catalytic species. The overall mechanism is that of a chain reaction. The above mechanism is well established in the system including Fenton's reagent [21]. This mechanism leads to the rate law

$$rate = \frac{k \cdot K[H_2O][cat]}{1 + K[H_2O_2]}$$
(1)

where k is the rate constant and K is the equilibrium constant. This equation can be rearranged as

$$\frac{1}{\text{rate}} = \frac{1}{k \cdot K[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2][\text{cat}]} + \frac{1}{k[\text{cat}]}$$
(2)



Fig. 4. Dependence of rates of decomposition on pH of the medium. $[H_2O_2] = 0.038$ mol L⁻¹, [cat Ia] = 1.0×10^{-3} g cm⁻³.

Thus the plots of $[rate]^{-1}$ versus $[H_2O_2]^{-1}$ at constant [cat] is a straight line with an intercept on the Y-axis. From the values of the intercept and slope of these plots the rate constant (k)and equilibrium constant (K) can be calculated using Eq. (2). Since the system is heterogeneous, these plots would not lead to absolute rate or equilibrium constants. The equilibrium constant (K) could also be considered as adsorption constant. Unless the total surface area of the catalyst as well as the distribution of the number of active sites per unit area are available the data on k or K will be tentative.

They are used here merely to compare the catalysts among themselves. The plots of $(rate)^{-1}$ versus $[H_2O_2]^{-1}$ for all three catalysts are shown in Fig. 5. The data on estimated rate and equilibrium constants are given in Table 3.

Both rate and equilibrium constants increase in the order cat IIIa < cat II < cat Ia. The high reactivity of cat Ia is due to its smaller average particle diameter (21.0 μ m) as well as the effectiveness of metal species. Among cat Ia and cat II which have Ru(II) as the active species the lower reactivity of cat II is due to (a) the larger average size (107 μ m) and (b) the difference in the nature of ligands coordinated to the Ru(II) species (pyridine alone versus pyridine and bipyridyl). The polymer supported Rh(I) species was less effective than either one of the Ru(II) analogues. The low reactivity of the former is due to its larger average particle



Fig. 5. Plots of (rate)⁻¹ versus $[H_2O_2]^{-1}$. (1) [cat Ia] = 0.5×10^{-1} g cm⁻³, (2) [cat II] = 2.0×10^{-1} g cm⁻³, (3) [cat IIIa] = 3.5×10^{-1} g cm⁻³.

Table 3 Kinetic parameters of decomposition of H₂O₂ $\frac{1}{[\text{cat Ia}] = 0.113 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g-atom Ru } \text{L}^{-1}}{[\text{cat II}] = 0.284 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g-atom Ru } \text{L}^{-1}}$ $[cat IIIa] = 1.105 \times 10^{-3}$ g-atom Rh L⁻¹ $\frac{[H_2O_2]}{[cat II]} = 67-771$ $\frac{[H_2O_2]}{[\text{cat Ia}]} = 168 - 1938$ $\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{cat}\ \mathrm{IIIa}\right]} = 17-198$ pH = 7318 K Catalyst 293 K 307 K No. k K k K k K 3.50 105.96 4.18 169.49 4.80 1 52.96 cat Ia 2 cat II 32.0 3.34 37.80 3.75 43.20 4.10 3 cat IIIa 7.14 1.66 8.22 1.81 9.05 1.94

 $[k] = \min^{-1}, [K] = \mod^{-1} L.$

size (137 μ m) and the nature of metal species as well as the difference in the ligands.

The activation energies E_a , calculated from the Arrhenius plots obtained for these catalysts increase in the order cat IIIa < cat II < cat Ia. The results are depicted in Table 4. Higher kvalues were associated with higher ΔS^{\neq} values suggesting that the reaction is governed by the entropy of activation [7-9]. This is confirmed by plotting the isokinetic relationship. The plot of ΔH^{\neq} versus ΔS^{\neq} (Fig. 6) is linear with the slope (isokinetic temperature = 273 K) which is lower than the mean experimental temperature (306 K) confirmed that all these reactions are entropy controlled [22]. The linearity of the isokinetic relationship assures that the reaction of hydrogen peroxide with all these catalysts follows the same mechanism [23].

Table 4 Thermodynamic parameters for the decomposition of H_2O_2



Fig. 6. Plot of ΔH^{\neq} versus ΔS^{\neq} .

3.2. Recycling efficiencies of the catalysts

One of the major drawbacks of using supported catalysts is the leaching of metal ions from the support. Hence, in order to assess the recycling efficiencies of the present catalysts they were analyzed for their metal content after various cycles of use. The reactivity of the recycled catalysts was compared to that of fresh catalysts. The data appear in Table 5. With all three catalysts the loss of metal per cycle was $\sim 1\%$ or less. However, even after 2 cycles of use the activity retained was of the order of

No.	Catalyst	$k (s)^{-1}$			E _a	ΔH *	ΔG ≠	ΔS ≠
		293 K	307 K	318 K	(kJ mol ⁻¹)	$(kJ mol^{-1})$	$(kJ mol^{-1})$	$(J \text{ mol}^{-1} K^{-1})$
1	cat Ia	0.88	1.76	2.82	35.9	33.36	73.72	- 137.88
2	cat II	0.53	0.63	0.72	9.52	6.97	76.27	-226.26
3	cat IIIa	0.12	0.13	0.15	7.3	4.76	80.11	-246.23

Table 5Recycling efficiencies of the catalysts

$[H_2O_2] = 0.0384 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$	Temp = 303 K
$[\text{cat Ia}] = 0.113 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g-atom Ru}$	L ⁻¹
$[\text{cat II}] = 0.284 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g-atom Ru}$	L ⁻¹
$[cat IIIa] = 1.105 \times 10^{-3} g$ -atom Rh	1 L ⁻¹

	Number of cycles		
	0	2	
Cat Ia Ru content (mg/g)	23.98	23.50	_
Rate $\times 10^3$ (mol/L/min)	1.746	1.588	
Cat II Ru content (mg/g)	14.37	14.0	
Rate $\times 10^3$ (mol/L/min)	1.732	1.508	
Cat IIIa Rh content (mg/g)	32.50	32.10	
Rate $\times 10^3$ (mol/L/min)	0.59	0.57	

 $\sim 95\%$ of the fresh catalyst. Thus it can be concluded that these catalysts possess good recycling efficiency and can be used several times without loss of activity.

References

- J.H. Baxendale, in: W.G. Frankensberg, E.K. Rideal and V.I. Komarewsky (Eds.), Advances in Catalysis and Related Subjects, Vol. 4 (Academic Press, New York, 1952) p. 31.
- [2] H. Shirai, A. Maruyama, K. Kobayashi and N. Hojo, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Lett. Ed. 17 (1979) 661.
- [3] H. Shirai, A. Higaki, K. Hanabusa, Y. Kondo and N. Hojo, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed. 22 (1984) 1309.

- [4] I.A. Salem, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 27 (1995) 499.
- [5] M.Y. El.Sheikh, F.M. Ashmawy, I.A. Salem and A.B. Zaki, Z. Phys. Chem. Leipzig 268 (1987) 595.
- [6] M.Y. El.Sheikh, F.M. Ashmawy, I.A. Salem and A.B. Zaki, Z. Phys. Chem. Leipzig 269 (1988) 126.
- [7] I.A. Salem, M.Y. El.Sheikh and A.B. Zaki, Monatsh. Chem. 126 (1995) 393.
- [8] M.Y. El.Sheikh, A.M. Habib A.H. Gemeay, A.B. Zaki and J. Bargon, J. Mol. Catal. 77 (1992) 15.
- [9] I.A. Salem, J. Mol. Catal. 80 (1993) 11.
- [10] G.T. Kokak and R.N. Ram, J. Mol. Catal. 49 (1989) 285.
- [11] E. Marimion and K.J. Tekuchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 1472.
- [12] S. Tazuke, K. Shimada and S. Okamura, J. Polym. Sci. A-1(7) (1969) 879.
- [13] A.A. Noyes, in: Qualitative Chemical Analysis of Inorganic Substances, 10th ed. (The Mac Millan Company, New York).
- [14] J. Mathew and V. Mahadevan, J. Mol. Catal. 60 (1990) 189.
- [15] E.B. Sandell, in: B.L. Clarke, P.J. Elving and I.M. Kolthoff (Eds.), Colorimetric Estimation of Trace Metals, Vol. 3 (1959) p. 783.
- [16] T. Imanaka, K. Kaneda, S. Teranishi and M. Terasawa, A 41, 6th Int. Congr. of Catalysis, London (1976).
- [17] A.D. Hamer, D.G. Tisloy and R.A. Walton, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1973) 116.
- [18] G. Briedig and M. Fortner, Ber. 37 (1904) 798.
- [19] A. Rius, Z. Electrochem. 36 (1930) 149.
- [20] E.A. Bektunov, S.E. Kudaibergenov and V.B. Sigitov, Polymer 27 (1986) 1269.
- [21] F. Haber and J. Weiss, Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A. 147 (1935) 332.
- [22] J.E. Leffler and E. Grundwold, Rates and Equilibria of Organic Reactions (John Wiley, New York, 1963) p. 155.
- [23] R.G. Wilkins, The Study of the Kinetics and Mechanisms of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes (Allyn and Bacon, MA, 1974) p. 101.